

केन्द्रीय सूचना आयोग
Central Information Commission
बाबा गंगनाथ मार्ग, मुनिरका
Baba Gangnath Marg, Munirka
नई दिल्ली, New Delhi – 110067

द्वितीय अपील संख्या/ Second Appeal No. CIC/AWBIC/A/2020/684203

In the matter of:

Naresh Kadyan

... अपीलकर्ता/Appellant

VERSUS

बनाम

CPIO,
Animal welfare Board of
India 3rd Seaward Rd, Valmiki
Nagar, Thiruvalluvar Nagar,
Thiruvanmiyur, Chennai,
Tamil Nadu -600041

...प्रतिवादीगण /Respondent

Relevant dates emerging from the appeal:

| | | |
|---------------------------------|---|---------------|
| RTI Application filed on | : | 04.02.2020 |
| CPIO replied on | : | Not on record |
| First Appeal filed on | : | 04.04.2020 |
| First Appellate Authority order | : | Not on record |
| Second Appeal received on | : | 07.09.2020 |
| Date of Hearing | : | 11.03.2022 |

The following were present:

Appellant: Absent (informed the Commission vide an undated letter that he does not want to avail hearing).

Respondent: Shri Narender Kumar, CPIO and Under Secretary, Department of Animal Husbandry and Dairying and Smt. Prachi Jain, CPIO and Asst. Secretary, Animal Welfare Board of India participated in the hearing in person.

Prachi
S/14/2022
HEO

25
05/04/2022

ORDER

Information sought:

The Appellant filed an RTI Application dated 04.02.2020, seeking information on the following seven points:

100 Camels brought by a private transporter, 60 Camels from Jodhpur, 40 Camels from Bikaner, 3 Camels in each goods transport vehicles, today all 100 Camels in the custody of BSF, on February 1-2, all these 100 Camels be back to Rajasthan in non ISI approved trucks by a private truck operators with silent consent of AWBI, officials of AWBI bribed as Dr. Bharat, HEO have unaccountable money & assets, according to his income, vigilance inquiry needed, hence, supply me:

1. All officials of AWBI annual property return copies for last five years including Dr. Bharat, HEO, describing his source of income.
2. All communications copies, related to 100 Camels of BSF, made by AWBI - AWD including copy of letter to DG of BSF
3. Complete details of head wise amount spent by AWBI, during shifting Chennai to NIAW
4. Complete details of head wise amount spent by AWBI, in the campus of NIAW & utilization
5. Complete details of the renovations, constructions in the campus of NIAW along with head wise amount expenditure & utilization
6. Complete details about AWBI Chennai office along with presently utilization of double story building
7. Complete details of mechanism to transport Camels, describing 3 Camels in a truck brought by private transporter, leg tied position, covered under cruelty against AWBI mandate, supply me action taken report with proposed plan related to Camel transportation.
8. Delhi is not a natural habitat of Camels, please confirm about their natural habitat
9. Complete details of structure built in the compound of NIAW along with the utilization of present structure with equipment

Having not received any information from the CPIO, the Appellant filed a **First Appeal** dated 04.04.2020, which has not been adjudicated by the First Appellate Authority as per available records.

Grounds for Second Appeal:

The Appellant filed a Second Appeal u/s 19 of the Act on the ground of unsatisfactory reply furnished by the Respondent. Appellant requested the Commission to direct the CPIO to provide complete information sought for.

Submissions made by Appellant and Respondent during Hearing:

The Appellant vide an undated letter has informed the Commission as under:

"2. ..., to decide on merit, on the following matters as I don't want to avail hearing."

Smt. Prachi Jain submitted that an appropriate reply was provided to the Appellant on 03.03.2020 and the First Appellate Authority has also adjudicated the First Appeal on 01.05.2020. At the instance of the Commission, she handed over the copies of the aforesaid CPIO's reply and the First Appellate Authority's order to the Commission during the hearing.

Decision:

Upon perusal of the facts on record as well as on the basis of the proceedings during the hearing, the Commission observes that an appropriate reply has been provided to the Appellant and the same is upheld. Though the Appellant chose not to attend the instant hearing, for the reasons best known to him, the Commission has gone through the case records in entirety and finds no further scope of intervention in the matter.

With the above observations, the instant Second Appeal is disposed of.

Copy of the decision be provided free of cost to the parties.

The Appeal, hereby, stands disposed of.



Amita Pandove (अमिता पांडव)

Information Commissioner (सूचना आयुक्त)

दिनांक / Date: 11.03.2022



Authenticated true copy

(अभिप्रमाणित सत्यापित प्रति)

B. S. Kasana (बी. एस. कसाना)

Dy. Registrar (उप-पंजीयक)

011-26105027

Addresses of the parties:

1. The First Appellate Authority (FAA)
Animal welfare Board of India
3rd Seaward Rd, Valmiki Nagar,
Thiruvalluvar Nagar, Thiruvanmiyur,
Chennai, Tamil Nadu -600041
- ✓ 2. The Central Public Information Officer
Animal welfare Board of India
3rd Seaward Rd, Valmiki Nagar,
Thiruvalluvar Nagar, Thiruvanmiyur,
Chennai, Tamil Nadu -600041
3. Mr. Naresh Kadyan
C 38, Rose Apartment, Prashant Vihar,
Sector-14, Rohini, Delhi-110085